

S

by W D

Submission date: 12-Nov-2020 04:45AM (UTC-0800)

Submission ID: 1443830202

File name: t_Cause_the_Most_Wrecks_and_The_Part_of_The_Day_In_U.edited.docx (28.37K)

Word count: 2210

Character count: 11654

Ages That Cause the Most Wrecks and the Part of the Day in US

Name

Institution

Course

Instructor

Date

Ages That Cause the Most Wrecks and the Part of the Day in US

One of the major global health concerns is road traffic collisions. According to the World Health Organization, these incidents account for more than a million deaths annually across the globe and even a profound number of critical injuries (WHO, 2015). Hence, for a nation such as the United States to develop operative road safety initiatives as well as guidelines, it necessitates it to have a good grasp of various aspects that contributing to driver crash risk. Thus, purpose of this paper is to establish the probable ages that cause most wrecks and the part of the day in the United States. It will achieve this by reflecting on and appraising a wealth of research findings as well as empirical data.

An Overview of Road Wrecks in The United States

In the past years, several scholars with an interest in road safety have conducted a wealth of study to evaluate driver attributes counting gender and age, connected to higher wreck risk. According to the findings of their researches, it was established that young and elderly drivers had higher risks of fatal and non-fatal wrecks than those under middle-age ranges (Ma and Yan, 2014). Also, a few studies have likewise discovered contrasts in lethal and non-lethal risks of crash among subgroups of more seasoned drivers. For instance, they presented substantial evidence that relative to drivers within the age bracket of 75-79, drivers between the ages of 70 to 74 exhibited less rate of wreck risk (Cicchino and McCartt, 2014). Likewise, the highest wreck risk was observed in drivers of eighty years and above.

Correspondingly, there are road safety studies that aimed to explore and address the relationship between the gender of a driver and a higher wreck risk. The general postulation of these studies was that female drivers were safer than their counterparts' male drivers. In any case, a few examinations recommended that while ladies will in general have less lethal

accidents than men, their danger of injury accidents might be higher. In addition to involvement in an accident, the gender and age of a driver have likewise been demonstrated to influence the seriousness of wreck results. That is a deadly injury risk given an accident. For any given accident in the United States, men and ²older drivers are bound to be lethally harmed in an accident than female drivers as well as drivers in a more youthful phase of life (Huang & Lai, 2011).

In an alternative study aimed to investigate the severity of a driver's injury in a single-car wreck in California state, it was established that environmental aspects such as time of the day led to considerable variations in the risk of accident involvement (Kim et al., 2013). By comparing the times of the day, night time exhibited higher wreck risk, with the variance being more articulated for drivers of male gender and at more youthful ages. The research characterized elderly drivers as individuals age 65 and above. It further established that this group had almost half of the people exhibiting a profound likelihood for a lethal hurt in case of an accident compared to group ages 25 to 64, keeping all factors constant with an exempt of age. Congruently, the remaining portion of the populace aged 65 years and above had a much lower prospect of a lethal injury.

Since the aforementioned study essentially considered the heterogeneous impacts of gender and age, it likewise noted heterogeneity in the age of the vehicle, but in association with the driver's gender. Thus, with all other factors kept constant, typically, males were connected to a higher prospect of a lethal injury while driving a fresher car compared to their female counterparts. To shed more light on other factors increasing chances of fatal injury for drivers in single-car accidents, the study noted the following: drivers of the male gender, driving under the influence of alcohol, risky speed, elderly drivers (those that are 65 years and older) driving an

older car, and absence of streetlights thus total darkness (Kim et al., 2013). Another study similarly found that the time of the day could be linked to the severity of a crash. This is because drivers in the United States were found to have a higher likelihood to suffer a deadly wound owing to wrecks at night relative to wrecks during daytime. This supposition was demonstrated to stand valid particularly among younger groups of drivers in the United States.

How Driving Exposure Complements the Wreck Aspect in the US

Conventionally, researchers used to account for variances in driving exposure by taking a specific driver group (for example, age or gender) wreck counts and dividing by their yearly travel (Li et al., 2003). Nonetheless, using the rate of a crash to account for variances in driving exposure in the United States is still apposite provided an increase in accident counts is proportionally computed to a surge in the driving experience. In other words, the link between frequency of crash and driving exposure – also called safety performance function, ought to be linear. Thus, “a line slope from origin to a certain point on safety performance function is what constitutes rate of a crash” (Regev et al., 2018). To determine the varying crash rate at different levels of exposure, the safety performance function should be nonlinear. The latter approach led to the conclusion that an increase in distance driven culminated in a decline in the rate of crash per driven distance. As a result, the traffic department in the United States found that drivers with low mileage exhibited a profound rate of a crash than those with high mileage (Antin et al., 2017).

The logic behind safety performance function nonlinearity is that drivers with elevated distance hit a larger percentage of their mileage on throughways while those with truncated distance lean towards limiting their movement to comparatively risky highways in urban centres. Secondly, drivers with high mileage are likely to possess better skills of driving since they have

amassed driving experience than their low mileage counterparts (Elvik, 2014). Lastly, elderly drivers experiencing physical or visual impairments tend to lower their exposure to driving. Therefore, a cluster of low mileage drivers is likely to consist of a bigger number of drivers with impairments who are more inclined to be involved in wrecks (Langford et al., 2013). Irrespective of the underpinning explanations, the wreck-experience connection remains non-linear, thus rates of crash dwindle with an increase in driving exposure.

Concerning the number of licensed drivers and traveled distance, young as well as older drivers are inclined to possess lower acquaintance to drive relative to other age ranges. While using the crash rate method has its inherent drawbacks and the most conspicuous one being “low mileage bias”, reliable studies often complement this approach with an innovative experience metric methodology to compute the risk of a crash (Regev et al., 2018). Here, the quantity of wrecks is computed proportionally to the sum of driving experience. When the latter approach was used, conventional rates of an accident in the United States demonstrated a steep reduction from ages 17 to 20 to ages 60 to 69.

Similarly, attuned risk of crash increased at ages 20 to 29 while ages 60 to 69 showed a decline (Regev et al., 2018). Furthermore, when utilizing the attuned risk of crash relative to traditional wreck rates, older drivers demonstrated lower involvement in wrecks as well as fatality risks. Lastly, driving at night time among teenage motorists accounted for a lower percentage of their single-car wreck jeopardy than previously insinuated using conventional rates of the crash method.

While traditionally, middle-age ranges contributed to more wrecks than young age (Ma and Yan, 2014), the novel wreck risk methodology exposed that the age range of 21 to 29 had the highest wreck risk. Ultimately, the risk diminished progressively with age. The latter findings are

in congruence with parallel studies that employed disaggregated representations as well as methods of quasi-induced experience to investigate wreck risk. According to these researches, the riskiest drivers were not the young and the elderly, but the highest wreck risk was demonstrated by drivers in their twenties and thirties (Kam, 2003). Notably, while previous reports support the strength of this study, at no point does this research paper contend against the implementation of driving boundaries aiming at inexperienced American drivers.

Correspondingly, defense for road safety guidelines would likewise rely upon the capacity of drivers to perceive their impediments and self-regulate their driving appropriately. The current examination only features that other age clusters likewise show significant driver wreck risk and might equally profit from focused initiatives of road safety.

Based on the time of the day, higher rates of wreck involvement in the U.S. were conventionally and particularly reported among young American males during night time compared to day time (Keall & Frith, 2006). Nonetheless, when the irregularities for night travel were properly adjusted, it was established that drivers in the U.S. accounted for far less night time wrecks involving single-vehicle wreck risk in contrast to the conventional approach. With all protocols observed, younger motorists are not constrained from driving at nighttime in the US. Therefore, the traditional approaches examining wreck risk could amplify the contribution of night time wrecks involving a single-car crash by teenagers in countries imposing curfews on this group of drivers. This is a fact all policymakers in the U.S. should be acquainted with (McCartt & Teoh, 2015).

In the US wreck engrossment as well as fatality risks of older drivers significantly reduced when monitoring appropriately for their petite driver numbers besides sporadic travel (Regev et al., 2018). Also, the danger of sustaining a lethal injury from an accident stayed

consistent across a season of the day among elderly drivers in the U.S. Thus, countermeasures aimed to protect older drivers should direct their attention to enhancing in-car technology to lower the severity of the injury. On the other hand, wrecks that occur at night saw young and middle-aged drivers having a higher likelihood of sustaining deadly injury than during the daytime. Regarding gender differences, male drivers are bound to be associated with lethal and non-deadly wrecks relative to female drivers (Zhou et al., 2015).

In summation, accompanying the traditional approach with the new method of modeling accident risks in relation to metric of experience that as a linear correlation with wrecks, this paper investigated wreck rates among age groups and time of the day as a varying condition in exposure to driving. In an attempt to establish truly at-risk drivers as well as driving conditions, this paper found that the traditional approach overestimated the wreck rates of an ideal ¹ risk of involvement in a crash and deadly injury for teenagers and elderly drivers besides for night time driving in the United States. Thus, it is hoped that the findings of this paper offer dependable wreck risk projections for road safety study as well as guidelines.

References

- Antin, J. F., Guo, F., Fang, Y., Dingus, T. A., Perez, M. A., & Hankey, J. M. (2017). A validation of the low mileage bias using naturalistic driving study data. *Journal of safety research*, 63, 115-120.
- Cicchino, J. B., & McCartt, A. T. (2014). Trends in older driver crash involvement rates and survivability in the United States: an update. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 72, 44-54.
- Elvik, R. (2014). Towards a general theory of the relationship between exposure and risk. *TØI report*, (1316).
- Huang, W. S., & Lai, C. H. (2011). Survival risk factors for fatal injured car and motorcycle drivers in single alcohol-related and alcohol-unrelated vehicle crashes. *Journal of safety research*, 42(2), 93-99.
- Kam, B. H. (2003). A disaggregate approach to crash rate analysis. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 35(5), 693-709.
- Keall, M. D., & Frith, W. J. (2006). Characteristics and risks of drivers with low annual distance driven. *Traffic Injury Prevention*, 7(3), 248-255.
- Kim, J. K., Ulfarsson, G. F., Kim, S., & Shankar, V. N. (2013). Driver-injury severity in single-vehicle crashes in California: a mixed logit analysis of heterogeneity due to age and gender. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 50, 1073-1081.
- Langford, J., Charlton, J. L., Koppel, S., Myers, A., Tuokko, H., Marshall, S., ... & Macdonald, W. (2013). Findings from the Candrive/Ozcandrive study: low mileage older drivers, crash risk, and reduced fitness to drive. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 61, 304-310.

- Li, G., Braver, E. R., & Chen, L. H. (2003). Fragility versus excessive crash involvement as determinants of high death rates per vehicle-mile of travel among older drivers. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 35(2), 227-235.
- Ma, L., & Yan, X. (2014). Examining the nonparametric effect of drivers' age in rear-end accidents through an additive logistic regression model. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 67, 129-136.
- McCartt, A. T., & Teoh, E. R. (2015). Tracking progress in teenage driver crash risk in the United States since the advent of graduated driver licensing programs. *Journal of safety research*, 53, 1-9.
- World Health Organization. (2015). *Global status report on road safety 2015*. World Health Organization.
- Zhou, H., Zhao, J., Pour-Rouholamin, M., & Tobias, P. A. (2015). Statistical characteristics of wrong-way driving crashes on Illinois freeways. *Traffic injury prevention*, 16(8), 760-767.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

1 %

SIMILARITY INDEX

1 %

INTERNET SOURCES

0 %

PUBLICATIONS

0 %

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

www.safetylit.org

Internet Source

<1 %

2

www.nae.edu

Internet Source

<1 %

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches Off